

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



Analysis and Normalization of Ceramic/Metal Bi-Element Target Data

by Nevin L. Rupert
and Fred I. Grace

ARL-TR-1492

September 1997

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

19971117 085

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

ARL-TR-1492

September 1997

Analysis and Normalization of Ceramic/Metal Bi-Element Target Data

Nevin L. Rupert, Fred I. Grace

Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

Abstract

The use of semi-infinite, bi-element targets in depth of penetration (DOP) testing initially arose from the need to rank performance of ceramic materials under ballistic impact. Ceramics exhibit complex damage responses. Interpretation of DOP results for ceramic/metal target combinations can be difficult and sometimes misleading. Recent work examined bi-element metal/metal targets to determine additional damage mechanisms present in the earlier DOP ceramic/metal target responses. Significant target interactions were demonstrated in either combination with or in addition to specific damage mechanisms inherent in the ceramic response. The target interactions considered before arose from mismatched densities at the target/target interface. Through an analytical model, the “density” effect and time-dependent mechanisms were separated. The current work, which includes titanium diboride/rolled homogeneous armor (RHA) and titanium diboride/titanium alloy bi-element target designs provides target combinations that are density-matched and strength-matched. The current work presents these new data and provides an analysis to normalize DOP responses for all target combinations. A ceramic damage function that could partially link experimental results to analysis is defined.

Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| List of Figures | v |
| List of Tables | v |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Materials | 1 |
| 2.1 Steel | 1 |
| 2.2 Titanium | 2 |
| 2.3 Titanium Diboride | 2 |
| 3. Test Procedures | 3 |
| 3.1 DOP Testing | 3 |
| 3.2 Projectiles | 5 |
| 3.3 Range Setup | 5 |
| 3.4 Target Construction | 5 |
| 4. Experimental Results | 7 |
| 4.1 Penetration Into Monolithic RHA Steel | 7 |
| 4.2 Penetration Into Monolithic Titanium | 7 |
| 4.3 Penetration Into Titanium Diboride/Titanium and Titanium Diboride/RHA .. | 8 |
| 5. Analysis and Discussion | 8 |
| 6. Summary | 15 |
| 7. References | 17 |
| Appendix: Firing Data | 19 |
| Distribution List | 23 |
| Report Documentation Page | 39 |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

List of Figures

| <u>Figure</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Generalized Performance Map | 4 |
| 2. Test Setup | 6 |
| 3. DOP Ceramic Target | 6 |
| 4. DOP Results for TiB_2/Ti | 9 |
| 5. DOP Results for TiB_2/RHA | 9 |
| 6. Model Results for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RHA}$ | 10 |
| 7. Normalized Data Plot | 14 |
| 8. Partially Normalized DOP_N Results | 14 |

List of Tables

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Property Data | 2 |
| A-1. Depth of Penetration (DOP) Results for TiB_2/RHA | 21 |
| A-2. Depth of Penetration (DOP) Results for TiB_2/Ti | 21 |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. Introduction

The depth of penetration (DOP) test method has proven to be a valuable tool for comparative testing and ranking of ceramics. The previous analysis by Rupert and Grace (1993) and Grace and Rupert (1993) has identified a dynamic effect referred to as a “density” effect mechanism for both metallic and ceramic appliques. This analysis demonstrated that evaluation of target materials using DOP testing should take into account the physical phenomena regarding material damage mechanisms and target interaction effects, to include the shock-induced transient and wave reflection from target interfaces. It was concluded that the density of the backup element is responsible for a significant target interaction effect, and this can substantially alter perceived performance. For example, the presence of a higher density second element can reflect pressure waves and associated material flow back toward an approaching penetration. This situation increases penetration rates. A lower density second element creates a reflected tensile wave with material flow away from the penetrator, which reduces penetration rates (Grace and Rupert 1993).

Titanium diboride/rolled homogeneous armor (TiB_2/RHA) and titanium diboride/titanium (TiB_2/Ti) bi-element target designs are used in the current work to examine the normalization of ceramic DOP data. The particular materials selected allowed sets of density-matched and strength-matched bi-element target combinations to be considered. The experimental data are presented together with an analysis of the mechanisms acting in the penetration of these materials.

2. Materials

2.1 Steel. Standard U.S. Army practice measures armor performance in terms of mass- and space-efficiency factors, E_m and E_s , defined in terms of a reference steel (e.g., RHA [Frank 1981]). Military specifications for the manufacturing process and material properties of RHA are described in MIL-A-12560G (MR) (U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center [AMMRC] 1984). Typical room-temperature property data for RHA were measured from random 100- to 152-mm RHA plates used at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) and are listed in Table 1. Large

Table 1. Property Data

| Property | RHA Steel, MIL-A-12560 | Ti, 6Al/4V | TiB ₂ ^a |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|--|
| Density (g/cm ³) | 7.85 | 4.45 | 4.48 |
| Hardness (BHN) | 241–375 | 302–340 | 2,700 (kg/mm ²) ^b |
| Average Grain Size (μm) | NA ^c | NA | 15 |
| Compressive Strength (GPa) | NA | NA | 4.82 |
| Tensile Strength (MPa) | 793–1,172 | 896–910 | NA |
| Yield Strength (MPa) | 655–1,055 | 827–862 | NA |
| Elongation | 8–20% | 10–12% | NA |
| Young's Modulus (GPa) | 207 | 113.8 | 556 |
| Poisson's Ratio | 0.29 | 0.342 | 0.11 |
| Sonic Velocity: | | | |
| Longitudinal (m/s) | 5,876 | 6,070 | 11,285 |
| Transverse (m/s) | 3,196 | 2,974 | 7,431 |

^a CERCOM, Inc.

^b Knoop 300 g.

^c Not available.

variations in the property data for the RHA are the result of MIL-A-12560 being a performance-based specification. As a result, chemical composition, heat treatments, and physical properties vary greatly between vendors. Physical properties also vary with plate thickness. To minimize the effects that these variations have on ballistic performance, targets were fabricated from a single thickness of plates from the same vendor and same heat.

2.2 Titanium. With the recent reduction in the cost of Ti alloys, there is a renewed interest in using Ti as an armor material. Property data for armor plates used in the recent evaluation of low-cost Ti-6Al-4V plates and this study are listed in Table 1 (Burkins, Hansen, and Paige 1994). Ti alloy was selected for this study, since its strength and sound velocity are similar to those of RHA.

2.3 Titanium Diboride. A high-purity armor grade TiB₂ manufactured by CERCOM Incorporated, Vista, CA, was used in this study. These tiles were nominally 101.6 mm square with thickness ranging from 6.35 mm to 35 mm. Selection of this ceramic was based on two factors.

First, the TiB_2 has a density similar to that of the Ti alloy. Second, the TiB_2 has an acoustic impedance (product of density and sound velocity) close to that of the RHA. Additional property data for the ceramic files used in this study are listed in Table 1.

3. Test Procedures

3.1 DOP Testing. DOP testing was developed as a means of ranking ceramic materials for ballistic applications by various groups, including Woolsey, Mariano, and Kokidko (1989), Alme and Bless (1989), Bless, Rosenberger, and Yoon (1987); and Woolsey, Kokidko, and Mariano (1990). Performance is measured by the DOP of a long-rod penetrator into a semi-infinite steel backplate after passing through a ceramic applique. Ceramic performance comparisons are then made between selected baseline materials using performance maps, as illustrated in Figure 1. Woolsey identified four performance regions, based on the general appearance of the data when plotted. Region I is where little or no reduction in penetrator performance occurred in the rear element after perforating thin ceramic appliques. Region II is where a marked reduction in penetration occurred with increased ceramic thickness. Region III is where a gradual reduction in penetration into the rear element occurred with increased ceramic thickness. Region IV is where the ceramic reached a critical thickness where penetration into the second element abruptly stopped.

Further work by Grace and Rupert (1993) provided physical explanations for the four regions. Region I was defined as the region where the dynamic target interaction or “density” effect is the dominate factor for thin ceramic sections. This region is characterized by a reduced penetrator erosion rate and a consequent increased penetration rate. The transient, which exhibits a higher penetration rate for a penetration depth of about a rod diameter, affects a larger portion of the total penetration of the ceramic applique in this region. Further, a proximate boundary of relatively higher density second element can reflect pressure waves and associated material back toward the approaching penetrator, also increasing the penetration rate.




Figure 1. Generalized Performance Map.

Region II is the region where time-dependent damage mechanisms, resulting in a mixed solid/granular flow, and the rate of transition from this flow to pure granular flow are dominant. As the ceramic first element becomes thicker, the transient affects a smaller portion of the total penetration of the ceramic. Also, the strength of the “density” affects decreases with ceramic thickness (Grace and Rupert 1993). Both of these effects result in an increased penetrator erosion rate and consequent decreased penetration rate. However, this is countered by the time-dependent strength loss of the ceramic as it transitions to granular flow.

Region III is defined as a region where pure granular flow becomes more dominant in the penetration of the ceramic. Rigid-body penetration of the damaged ceramic may also occur in this region. Region IV is the termination phase, where the unconsumed rod traveling at low velocity abruptly decelerates and stops. This type of testing has been extended to include bi-element metallic targets in the cited prior work.

3.2 Projectiles. The tungsten heavy alloy (WHA) projectile used in this study was the 65-g, 93% W-4.91% Ni-/2.11% Fe long-rod penetrator manufactured by Teledyne Firth Sterling. The penetrator was produced using the nominal X-21 process with 25% swaging. The penetrator had a diameter of 7.82 mm, an L/D ratio of 10, and a hemispherical nose. Nominal material properties for these penetrators are as follows: density was 17.6 g/cm³, hardness was Hardness Rockwell C Scale 40.5–42.6, yield strength was 1.09–1.17 GPa, ultimate strength was 1.13–1.21 GPa, and elongation was 5.8–10.6% (Teledyne Firth Sterling 1991; Farrand 1991).

3.3 Range Setup. The penetrators were fired from a laboratory gun consisting of a Bofors' 40-mm gun breech assembly with a custom-made 40-mm smoothbore barrel. The gun was positioned approximately 3 m in front of the targets. High-speed (flash) radiography was used to record and measure projectile pitch and velocity. Two pairs of orthogonal x-ray tubes were positioned in the vertical and horizontal planes along the shot line (as illustrated in Figure 2). Propellant weight was adjusted for the desired nominal velocity of 1,500 m/s. Projectiles with a striking total yaw in excess of 2° were considered a “no test,” and those data were disregarded.

3.4 Target Construction. TiB₂ DOP target construction followed general features of the standard design, as shown in Figure 3 (Woolsey, Mariano, and Kokidko 1989; Woolsey, Kokidko, and Mariano 1990). For the targets used here, no aluminum foil was used, but, rather, the ceramic tile was glued directly to the RHA backing plate. Targets shot in this test series consisted of 101.6-mm (4 in)-square ceramic tile, instead of the 152.4-mm (6 in)-square ceramic tile, as shown in Figure 3. The ceramic tile was held into a steel lateral confinement frame by EPON 828 and VERSAMID 140, with a mixing ratio of 1:1, and a nominal thickness of 0.5 mm. The frame had a 19-mm (3/4 in) web and a depth equal to or greater than the tile thickness. The frame was mechanically secured to a thick steel backup plate. This steel backup plate was made from a single RHA steel, MIL-A-12560, Class 3, 127 mm (5 in) thick, with a nominal hardness of R_c 27. A 102-mm (4 in)-thick Ti-6Al-4V plate was substituted for the 127-mm RHA plate in targets requiring a Ti second element.




Figure 2. Test Setup.




Figure 3. DOP Ceramic Target.

4. Experimental Results

4.1 Penetration Into Monolithic RHA Steel. Penetration data against semi-infinite (152 mm thick), monolithic RHA targets for the 93% WHA penetrator used are available over a wide range of velocities, from 800 m/s to 1,750 m/s (Woolsey, Kokidko, and Mariano 1990). Over this range of interest, the data are linear. Thus, a linear empirical fit to the penetration data was derived for RHA steel. The resulting equation is as follows:

$$\text{DOP}_{(\text{RHA})} = 0.0833 \left(\frac{\text{mm} \cdot \text{s}}{\text{m}} \right) V_s - 55.88 \text{ mm}, \quad (1)$$

where V_s is the striking velocity in meters per second, while DOP and the right-hand constant are in millimeters. To correct for variations in the actual striking velocities, all residual penetration values for ceramic and metallic bi-element targets with RHA second elements were adjusted to a striking velocity of 1,500 m/s by the following correction based on equation (1):

$$\text{DOP}' = \text{Measured DOP} + 0.0833 \left(\frac{\text{mm} \cdot \text{s}}{\text{m}} \right) (1,500 \text{ m/s} - V_s), \quad (2)$$

where, again, the units are meters per second and millimeters. This technique is considered uniformly valid for different materials, when a significant amount of the rod length, and therefore penetration, occurs in the RHA steel backplate (Woolsey, Kokidko, and Mariano 1990).

4.2 Penetration Into Monolithic Titanium. Penetration data for the 93% WHA penetrator against monolithic Ti-6Al-4V are based on five tests, wherein striking velocity ranged from 1,079 m/s to 1,672 m/s against semi-infinite (two, 102 mm thick) plates (Burkins, Hansen, and Paige 1994). Over this range, the data are linear; an empirical fit to the data was derived. The resulting equation is as follows:

$$\text{DOP}_{(\text{Ti})} = 0.108 \left(\frac{\text{mm} \cdot \text{s}}{\text{m}} \right) V_s - 81.7 \text{ mm}, \quad (3)$$

where V_s is the striking velocity in meters per second, and the DOP and constant are in millimeters. To correct for variations in the actual striking velocities, all residual penetration values for metallic bi-element targets with Ti alloy second elements were normalized to a striking velocity of 1,500 m/s by the following correction, based on equation (3):

$$\text{DOP}' = \text{Measured DOP} + 0.108 \left(\frac{\text{mm} \cdot \text{s}}{\text{m}} \right) (1,500 \text{ m/s} - V_s), \quad (4)$$

where, again, the units are meters per second and millimeters.

4.3 Penetration Into Titanium Diboride/Titanium and Titanium Diboride/RHA. DOP' results for TiB_2/Ti and TiB_2/RHA targets (15 each) are shown in Figures 4 and 5 and listed in the appendix. The open triangles in Figure 4 plot the individual data points for the TiB_2/Ti bi-element targets. The solid triangle represents the semi-infinite DOP for Ti, based on equation (3). The open diamonds in Figure 5 provide individual data points for the TiB_2/RHA . The open diamond represents a semi-infinite RHA target. The solid diamond represents the semi-infinite DOP datum point for RHA, based on equation (1). Corrections for striking velocity variations were generally less than 2 mm for the current data set using equations (2) and (4).

5. Analysis and Discussion

The experimental results show both similarities and contrasting differences to the prior behavior as depicted in DOP performance maps. A typical map, including lower and upper performance envelopes for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{AD995 CAP3/RHA}$ target systems against a 65-g, depleted uranium (U-3/4 Ti), hemispherical nose penetrator at 1,500 m/s, is shown in Figure 6 (lines 2 and 3) (Grace and Rupert 1993). Target construction for the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RHA}$ targets was similar to that used in the current study.




Figure 4. DOP Results for TiB_2/Ti .




Figure 5. DOP Results for TiB_2/RHA .




Figure 6. Model Results for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RHA}$.

For the data presented in Figures 4 and 5, one striking difference is the relatively small amounts of scatter in the TiB_2 data, which may reflect consistency in these particular ceramic tiles and in target construction. A second difference is that the TiB_2/RHA system shows the performance shift relative to the rule of mixtures associated with Region I, whereas the TiB_2/Ti alloy system does not contain a shift in performance relative to the rule of mixtures. The rule of mixtures was based on the assumption that there is no interaction between the material and that total penetration is the sum of the two materials. Thus, the bi-element target performance is the sum of the proportional performances of the constituent target materials. While the shock-impedance equation was used previously (Grace and Rupert 1993) to provide a form for the density effect, the current target systems indicate that results do not correlate with impedance, but rather with density. Thus, the previous form can be taken, at this point, as empiric. The appearance or absence of a shift relative to the rule of mixtures has been analyzed previously by the authors (Rupert and Grace 1993; Grace

and Rupert 1993) and found to depend on a density effect associated with the order of densities within the bi-element target arrangement.

As a result, the performance of both systems fits the density effect analysis. Consequently, no shift in the TiB_2/Ti system was expected. The TiB_2 ceramic appears to have lower performance when backed by RHA in contrast to its relatively high performance when backed by Ti alloy, even in thin sections (<20 mm). With the density effect analysis, it is believed that the TiB_2 maintains its nominal strength in Region I in either case, but becomes subject to time-dependent damage (strength loss) with increased ceramic thickness as the performance extends into Region II. Both data sets show that with increased ceramic thickness, Region II performance is maintained, as would be expected, based on either the full nominal strength of the ceramic or an initial transition into damage within the ceramic. Further performance losses, characteristic of Region III, wherein the process is dominated by granular flow, are absent.

The DOP data were analyzed further by introducing a more extensive normalization of the data by equation (5). Reference materials for this normalization were Coors' AD-995 Al_2O_3 CAP 3 ceramic in the first element and RHA in the second element. Factors for the normalization are defined as follows.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| a_N | - Normalized thickness of first element in terms of a reference first element (mm) |
| a_o | - Thickness of first element (mm) |
| c_{RSW} | - Rayleigh wave/shear wave velocity for ceramic damage model (m/s) |
| DOP_N | - Normalized DOP results in terms of two reference materials (mm) |
| DOP' | - Velocity-corrected DOP results (mm) |
| P_{DE} | - Penetration shift due to density effect (mm) |
| $P_{\text{S}(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)}$ | - Undamaged semi-infinite Al_2O_3 AD-995 CAP 3 penetration value, based on theoretical calculations (mm) |
| $P_{\text{S}(\text{RHA})}$ | - Semi-infinite RHA penetration value from experimental data or theoretical calculations (mm) |

- P_{S1} - Semi-infinite penetration value for undamaged first element material, based on theoretical calculations (mm)
- P_{S2} - Semi-infinite second element material penetration value from experimental data or theoretical calculations (mm)
- $\dot{\eta}$ - Damage growth rate coefficient (s^{-1})

The first factor in equation (5a) subtracts out the target interaction effect contained in Region I. This factor is represented as $(DOP' - P_{DE})$, where P_{DE} is the shift along the ordinate due to the density effect as calculated using the analysis of Grace and Rupert (1993). In addition, the shifted DOP results are multiplied by the ratio of semi-infinite penetration for RHA relative to the second element. The ceramic thickness along the abscissa is adjusted by a factor to reflect differences in semi-infinite penetration into the first element when compared to that of Al_2O_3 . Further, to incorporate the time-dependent damage effects within Region II, a damage function is introduced as an additional adjustment to the depth of penetration. This factor reflects any slope changes associated with higher or lower rates of damage in the ceramic material. The preceding factors result in the following equations for a normalized lower performance limit DOP_N :

$$DOP_N = \frac{P_{S(RHA)}}{P_{S2}} (DOP' - P_{DE}) - \frac{P_{S1} P_{S(RHA)}^2}{P_{S2} P_{S(Al_2O_3)}} \int_0^{a_0} f(c_{RSW}, a_0, \dot{\eta}) da, \quad (5a)$$

$$a_N = a_0 \frac{P_{S(Al_2O_3)}}{P_{S1}}. \quad (5b)$$

Transition between penetrator materials may be accomplished by defining DOP' , P_{DE} , P_{S1} , and P_{S2} , performance in terms of the nonreference penetrator material.

It should be noted that $f(c_{RSW}, a_0, \dot{\eta}) = 0$ for metal/metal targets or ceramic/metal targets where the ceramic tiles exhibit its intact nominal strength throughout the penetration process. Estimates for $P_{S(Al_2O_3)}$ and P_{S1} were obtained using intact strengths of 2.0 GPa (Al_2O_3) and 2.78 GPa (TiB_2)

in a one-dimensional penetration model (Grace 1993). The values were $P_s(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3) = 22.71$ mm and $P_{s1} = 12.73$ mm. For material that exhibits time-dependent damage, the $f(c_{\text{RSW}}, a_o, \dot{\eta})$ can be determined from the data graphically or calculated by suitable damage models (Cortés et al. 1992; Corran et al. 1993) or by Grace and Rupert (1993). The normalization in equation (5) with the defined $f(c_{\text{RSW}}, a_o, \dot{\eta})$ permits a convenient framework through which various factors affecting damage growth rates can be examined. Further, the function should account for all influencing factors to include statistical property variations within the ceramic, as related to the time-dependent damage, such as flaw densities, flaw orientation, etc., as well.

In Figure 7, lower performance limits (upper data points) are presented for TiB_2/RHA , TiB_2/Ti alloy, and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RHA}$. The data for Al_2O_3 thickness have been normalized by the ratio of its density to that of TiB_2 (abscissa). The TiB_2/RHA , TiB_2/Ti alloy, and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RHA}$ DOP results have been normalized and presented as percent of the semi-infinite penetration for the second element (ordinate). Both TiB_2/RHA and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RHA}$ systems show the characteristic shift of Region I for the thin ceramic appliques. Further, the slopes of TiB_2/RHA and TiB_2/Ti alloy are similar in Region II, indicating that the time-dependent strength and damage growth rate of the TiB_2 applique may be independent of the backing material so long as sufficient support is provided. The lower slope for the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RHA}$ may reflect the effects of its lower nominal strength relative to TiB_2 , and/or a higher time-dependent damage growth rate relative to TiB_2 on the penetration process. The nominal intact strength used in the modeling (undamaged ceramic strength) was found to correlate well with the shear component of the compressive strength (Grace and Rupert 1993). Thus, intact strengths used in the modeling here were 2.0 GPa (Grace and Rupert 1993) for Al_2O_3 and 2.78 GPa for TiB_2 . The change in curvature of the Al_2O_3 line, representing the lower performance limit at large thickness, suggests a transition toward the higher damage states associated with Region III (pure granular flow).

In Figure 8, ceramic data from the present work are plotted together with the Al_2O_3 lower performance limit curve, and the metal/metal response data (Rupert and Grace 1993), using the normalization process of equation (5) without the damage function correction. The normalization places all of the metal/metal data on the same curve by subtracting out the shift in DOP response for the Ti/RHA target. Further, when all major factors considered in the penetration process are




Figure 7. Normalized Data Plot.




Figure 8. Partially Normalized DOP_N Results.

normalized, except for $f(c_{\text{RSW}}, a_0, \eta)$, the lower performance limits of the two ceramics are not much different. This result suggests that the factors within the function combine so that the overall time-dependent strength loss and its effect on the DOP are approximately equal on a normalized basis for these particular ceramic materials. From Figure 8, the average damage function value for both ceramics is estimated to be 1.47-mm RHA per millimeter Al_2O_3 . This value was estimated by taking the difference of the slopes between the DOP_N curve and a least-square fit of the ceramic data as plotted in Figure 8. However, the lower performance limits may be more useful for armor designs. Damage function values based on these limits are 1.35- and 1.16-mm RHA per millimeter Al_2O_3 for Al_2O_3 and TiB_2 , respectively. When the damage function is taken into account in equation (5), the ceramic data can be further normalized so that all DOP response points would lie near the single curve given by the data points for the metal targets. Thus, the damage function has been isolated from the various other contributing factors important to the penetration process. Research in the future can address the specific nature of the damage function.

6. Summary

In this work, DOP responses of TiB_2/RHA and TiB_2/Ti alloy bi-element targets were determined and contrasted to the general ceramic behavior in DOP performance maps. The TiB_2 ceramic performed very well in thin sections (elemental mass efficiencies of 3.0+) when backed by Ti alloy, demonstrating high ceramic strength. Although the TiB_2/RHA targets did not perform as well as the TiB_2/Ti alloy systems in thin ceramic sections (elemental mass efficiencies of 1.0), the difference was due to a target/target interaction during penetration, or density effect, as a result of density order of the bi-element targets rather than loss of ceramic strength. Lower performance bounds for the TiB_2 and a baseline Al_2O_3 ceramic show that the density effect is present in these systems. These results are consistent with prior findings regarding $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{RHA}$ responses (Grace and Rupert 1993). The present analysis was able to separate the overall mechanical response of the penetration process from that which involves time-dependent damage response (strength losses) in the ceramic during penetration. The normalization equation includes the separation of factors and defines a damage function to be determined experimentally or analytically. The model, including the isolated damage function, provides direction for future research efforts.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

7. References

- Alme, M., and S. J. Bless. "Experiments to Determine the Ballistic Resistance of Confined Ceramics at Hypervelocity." *Proceedings of the Fifth TACOM Armor Conference*, Monterey, CA, 1989.
- Bless, S. J., Z. Rosenberger, and B. Yoon. "Hypervelocity Penetration of Ceramics." *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, vol. 5, pp. 165–171, 1987.
- Burkins, M. S., J. S. Hansen, and J. I. Paige. "Armor Applications for Ti-6Al-4V." Unpublished report, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1994.
- Cortés, R., C. Navarro, M. A. Martínez, J. Rodríguez, and V. Sánchez-Gálvez. "Numerical Modelling of Normal Impact on Ceramic Composite Armors." *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, vol. 12, pp. 639–651, 1992.
- Curran, D. R., L. Seaman, T. Copper, and D. A. Shockey. "Micromechanical Model for Communication and Granular Flow of Brittle Material Under High Strain Rate Application to Penetration of Ceramic Targets." *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, vol. 13, pp. 53–83, 1993.
- Farrand, T. G. Unpublished data. U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1991.
- Frank, K. "Armor-Penetration Performance Measures." BRL-MR-03097, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1981.
- Grace, F. I. "Non-Steady Penetration of Long Rods into Semi-Infinite Targets." *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, vol. 14, pp. 303–314, 1993.
- Grace, F. I., and N. L. Rupert. "Mechanisms for Ceramic/Metal, Semi-Infinite, Bi-Element Target Response to Ballistic Impact." TB-16, *Ballistics '93, 14th International Symposium*, vol. 2, pp. 361–370, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada, 1993.
- Rupert, N. L., and F. I. Grace. "Penetration of Long Rods into Semi-Infinite, Bi-Element Targets." TB-27, *Ballistics '93, 14th International Symposium*, vol. 2, pp. 469–478, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada, 1993.
- Teledyne Firth Sterling. Material Certification Sheet, BRL contract number DAAD05-90-C-0431, LaVergne, TN, 1991.

U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center (AMMRC). "Armor Plate, Steel, Wrought, Homogeneous (for use in Combat Vehicles and for Ammunition Testing)." MIL-A-12560G, U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center, Watertown, MA, 1984.

Woolsey, P., S. Mariano, and D. Kokidko. "Alternative Test Methodology for Ballistic Performance Ranking of Armor Ceramics." *Proceedings of the Fifth TACOM Armor Conference*, Monterey, CA, 1989.

Woolsey, P. D., D. Kokidko, and S. Mariano. "Progress Report on Ballistic Test Methodology for Armor Ceramics." *Proceedings of the First TACOM Combat Vehicle Survivability Symposium*, Monterey, CA, 1990.

Appendix:
Firing Data

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Table A-1. Depth of Penetration (DOP) Results for TiB₂/RHA

| Applique Thickness (mm) | Striking Velocity (m/s) | Pitch (deg) | Yaw (deg) | DOP (mm) | Corrected DOP (mm) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|
| 6.35 | 1,521 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 69 | 67 |
| 6.35 | 1,509 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66 | 65 |
| 6.35 | 1,507 | 0.75 U | 0.75 R | 66 | 65 |
| 12.70 | 1,511 | 0.25 U | 0.50 R | 56 | 55 |
| 12.70 | 1,499 | 0.50 U | 0.50 L | 55 | 55 |
| 12.70 | 1,502 | 0.50 U | 0.25 L | 57 | 57 |
| 20.00 | 1,510 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 46 | 45 |
| 20.00 | 1,501 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47 | 47 |
| 20.00 | 1,503 | 0.25 U | 0.25 L | 47 | 47 |
| 25.40 | 1,502 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32 | 32 |
| 25.40 | 1,499 | 0.50 U | 0.00 | 33 | 33 |
| 25.40 | 1,511 | 0.25 D | 0.50 L | 34 | 33 |
| 35.00 | 1,502 | 0.50 D | 0.00 | 20 | 20 |
| 35.00 | 1,491 | 0.50 D | 0.00 | 12 | 13 |
| 35.00 | 1,517 | 0.00 | 0.75 L | 10 | 9 |

Table A-2. Depth of Penetration (DOP) Results for TiB₂/Ti

| Applique Thickness (mm) | Striking Velocity (m/s) | Pitch (deg) | Yaw (deg) | DOP (mm) | Corrected DOP (mm) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|
| 6.35 | 1,450 | 1.50 D | 1.00 R | 60 | 65 |
| 6.35 | 1,525 | 0.25 D | 0.50 L | 71 | 68 |
| 6.35 | 1,497 | 0.50 U | 0.00 | 65 | 65 |
| 12.70 | 1,503 | 0.50 U | 0.25 R | 59 | 59 |
| 12.70 | 1,512 | 0.50 U | 0.25 L | 56 | 55 |
| 12.70 | 1,513 | 0.75 U | 0.25 R | 58 | 57 |
| 20.00 | 1,502 | 0.00 | 0.50 R | 43 | 43 |
| 20.00 | 1,494 | 0.75 D | 0.00 | 44 | 45 |
| 20.00 | 1,485 | 0.75 D | 0.25 L | 42 | 44 |
| 25.40 | 1,499 | 0.00 | 0.75 L | 30 | 30 |
| 25.40 | 1,460 | 0.25 U | 0.00 | 28 | 32 |
| 25.40 | 1,486 | 0.25 D | 0.50 L | 31 | 33 |
| 35.00 | 1,519 | 0.25 D | 0.25 L | 9 | 7 |
| 35.00 | 1,495 | 0.00 | 0.50 L | 7 | 8 |
| 35.00 | 1,500 | 0.25 U | 0.00 | 7 | 7 |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

2 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
INFORMATION CENTER
DTIC DDA
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD
STE 0944
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 HQDA
DAMO FDQ
DENNIS SCHMIDT
400 ARMY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20310-0460

1 CECOM
SP & TRRSTRL COMMCTN DIV
AMSEL RD ST MC M
H SOICHER
FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703-5203

1 PRIN DPTY FOR TCHNLGY HQ
US ARMY MATCOM
AMCDCG T
M FISETTE
5001 EISENHOWER AVE
ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001

1 PRIN DPTY FOR ACQUSTN HQS
US ARMY MATCOM
AMCDCG A
D ADAMS
5001 EISENHOWER AVE
ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001

1 DPTY CG FOR RDE HQS
US ARMY MATCOM
AMCRD
BG BEAUCHAMP
5001 EISENHOWER AVE
ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001

1 DPTY ASSIST SCY FOR R&T
SARD TT T KILLION
THE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103

1 OSD
OUSD(A&T)/ODDDR&E(R)
J LUPO
THE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY
THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
PO BOX 202797
AUSTIN TX 78720-2797

1 DUSD SPACE
1E765 J G MCNEFF
3900 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20301-3900

1 USAASA
MOAS AI W PARRON
9325 GUNSTON RD STE N319
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5582

1 CECOM
PM GPS COL S YOUNG
FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703

1 GPS JOINT PROG OFC DIR
COL J CLAY
2435 VELA WAY STE 1613
LOS ANGELES AFB CA 90245-5500

1 ELECTRONIC SYS DIV DIR
CECOM RDEC
J NIEMELA
FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703

3 DARPA
L STOTTS
J PENNELLA
B KASPAR
3701 N FAIRFAX DR
ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714

1 SPCL ASST TO WING CMNDR
50SW/CCX
CAPT P H BERNSTEIN
300 O'MALLEY AVE STE 20
FALCON AFB CO 80912-3020

1 USAF SMC/CED
DMA/JPO
M ISON
2435 VELA WAY STE 1613
LOS ANGELES AFB CA
90245-5500

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 US MILITARY ACADEMY
MATH SCI CTR OF EXCELLENCE
DEPT OF MATHEMATICAL SCI
MDN A MAJ DON ENGEN
THAYER HALL
WEST POINT NY 10996-1786

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRL CS AL TP
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRL CS AL TA
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

3 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRL CI LL
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

3 DIR USARL
AMSRL CI LP (305)

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

3 DIR DARPA
LTC R KOCHER (3 CPS)
3701 N FAIRFAX DR
ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714

2 HQ DEPT OF THE ARMY
SARD TR R CHAIT
SARD TT J APPEL
PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103

2 CDR US ARMY MRDEC
AMSMI RD ST WF
D LOVELACE
M SCHEXNAYER
REDSTON ARSENAL AL
34898-5250

7 CDR US ARMY TACOM
AMCPM ABMS SA J ROWE
AMSTA AR TR
T FURMANIAK
S GOODMAN
D HANSEN
M MINNICK
D THOMAS
J THOMPSON
WARREN MI 48397-5000

3 CDR US ARMY ARDEC
SMCAR AAE W J PEARSON
TECH LIB
AMSTA AR AET M
M HESPOS
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ
07806-5000

1 PM TANK MAIN ARMNT
SYSTEMS
SSAE AR TMA MT
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ
07806-5000

1 PM SURVIVABILITY SYS
SFAE ASM SS T T DEAN
WARREN MI 48397-5000

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

2 CDR US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE
J BAILEY
K IYER
PO BOX 12211
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC
27709-2211

1 CDR EUROPEAN RSCH OFC
USARDSG (UK)
R REICHENBACH
PSC 802 BOX 15
FPO AE 09499-1500

1 CH OF NAVAL RSCH
OFC OF NAVAL TECH
A J FAULSDITCH
ONT 23
BALLSTON TOWERS
ARLINGTON VA 22217

2 CDR NGIC
J CRIDER
W MARLEY
220 SEVENTH AVE
CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22901-5391

2 NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
A E WILLIAMS CODE 6684
4555 OVERLOOK AVE SW
DR R BADALIANCE CODE 6380
WASHINGTON DC 20375-5343

1 NAVAL POST GRADUATE SCHOOL
J STERNBERG CODE EW
MONTEREY CA 93943

1 NAVAL WPNS CTR
TECH LIBRARY
CHINA LAKE CA 93555

5 NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE
CENTER
D DICKINSON
E ROWE JR
B SMITH
V GEHMAN
TECHNICAL LIBRARY
DAHLGREN DIVISION
DAHLGREN VA 22448

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

4 CDR NSWC
R GARRETT R 12
J FOLTZ R 32
H DEJARNETTE R 32
TECH LIBRARY
10901 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE
SILVER SPRING MD
20903-5000

1 OFFICE OF NAVAL RES 332
J KELLY
800 NORTH QUINCEY ST
ARLINGTON VA 2217-5000

1 NUSC NEWPORT
S DICKENSON CODE 8214
NEWPORT RI 02841

1 NAVAL POST GRAD SCHOOL
J STERNBERG CODE EW
MONTEREY CA 93943

4 AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LAB
AFATL DLJW W COOK
J FOSTER
TECHNICAL LIBRARY
W DRESS
EGLIN AFB FL 32542

2 WRIGHT LABORATORY
MATERIALS DIRECTORATE
WL/MLBM
DR S L DONALDSON
WL/MLLN
DR A KATZ
WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH
45433-7750

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

15 DIR LANL
F ADDESSIO
D MANDELL
C WINGATE
L SCHWALBE
M BURKETT
E J CHAPYAK MS F664
J V REPA MS A133
M O SCHNICK MS F607
G E CORT F
R KAROPA M
F GAC
B HOGAN
W GASKILL
S MARSH MS 970
TECH LIB
PO BOX 1663
LOS ALAMOS NM 87545

11 DIR LLNL
R GOGOLEWSKI MS L290
C CLINE
R LANDINGHAM L369
J REAUGH L32
D STEINBERG
J E REAUGH L290
M FINGOR MS 35
D BAUM
M WILKINS
M H MURPHY
TECH LIB
PO BOX 808
LIVERMORE CA 94550

10 DIR SANDIA NATL LABS
J ASAY MS 0458
D GRADY
E HERTEL JR
R BRANNON MS 0820
L CHABILDAS MS 0821
D CRAWFORD ORG 0821
M FORRESTAL DIV 1551
R GRAHAM DIV
P YARRINGTON
TECH LIB
PO BOX 5800
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0307

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 CIA
OSWR DSD W WALTMAN
ROOM 5P0110 NHB
WASHINGTON DC 20505

2 BROWN UNIV
DIV OF ENGINEERING
R CLIFTON
S SUNDARAM
PROVIDENCE RI 02912

5 DEPARTMENT OF
METALLURGICAL AND
MATERIALS ENGINEERING
L MURR (5 CPS)
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AT EL PASO
EL PASO TX 79968

6 INST OF ADVANCED TECH
UNIV OF TX AT AUSTIN
S BLESS
H FAIR
T KIEHNE
D LITTLEFIELD
M NORMANDIA
R SUBRAMANIAN
PO BOX 202797
AUSTIN TX 78720-2797

7 THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE
UNIVERSITY
T KRAUTHAMMER
J CONWAY
R QUEENEY
N SALAMON
R ENGEL
M AMATEAU
A PYTEL
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY PARK PA
16802

3 SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INST
C ANDERSON
J RIEGEL
J WALKER
PO DRAWER 28510
SAN ANTONIO TX 78284

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

2 UNIV OF CA SAN DIEGO
DEPT OF APPL MECH & ENGR
SVCS R011
S NEMAT NASSER
M MEYERS
LA JOLLA CA 92093-0411

3 UNIV OF DAYTON RSCH INST
KLA14
N BRAR
D GROVE
A PIEKUTOWSKI
300 COLLEGE PARK
DAYTON OH 45469-0182

2 ADELMAN ASSOCIATES
C CLINE
M WILKENS
3301 EL AMINO RIAL STE 280
ATHERTA CA 94027

1 NEW MEXICO TECH
D EMARY
TERA GROUP
SOCORRO NM 87801

4 ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC
T HOLMQUIST
C LOPATIN
G JOHNSON
C CANDLAND
23100 SUGARBUSH N
ELK RIVER MN 55343

3 APPLIED RESEARCH ASSOC INC
J D YATTEAU
R RECHT
G RECHT
5941 S MIDDLEFIELD RD SUITE 100
LITTLETON CO 80123

1 APPLIED RESEARCH ASSOC INC
D GRADY
4300 SAN MATEO BLVD NE STE A 220
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87110

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

2 BATTELLE EDGEWOOD
A RICCHIAZZI
L HERR
2012 TOLLGATE RD STE 206
BEL AIR MD 21014

1 BRIGS CO
J E BACKOFEN
2668 PETERSBOROUGH ST
HERNDON VA 22071-2443

1 THE CARBORUNDUM CO
R PALIA
PO BOX 1054
NIAGARA FALLS NY 19302

1 CENTURY DYNAMICS INC
N BIRNBAUM
2333 SAN RAMON VALLEY BLVD
SAN RAMON CA 94583-1613

3 CERCOM INC
A EZIS
G NELSON
R PALICKA
1960 WATSON WAY
VISTA CA 92083

1 COORS CERAMIC CO
STRUCTURAL DIV
600 NINTH ST
GOLDEN CO 80401

1 CORNING INC
S HAGG SP DV 22
CORNING NY 14831

1 CYPRESS INTERNATIONAL
A CAPONECCHI
1201 E ABINGDON DR
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

4 DOW CHEMICAL INC
ORDNANCE SYSTEMS
K EPSTEIN
C HANEY
A HART
B RAFANIELLO
800 BUILDING
MIDLAND MI 48667

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DUPONT ADVANCE FIBERS
SYSTEMS
B SCOTT
SPRUANCE PLANT
PO BOX 27001
RICHMOND VA 23261

1 EPSTEIN AND ASSOC
K EPSTEIN
2716 WEMBERLY DRIVE
BELMONT CA 94002

2 GENERAL RESEARCH CORP
A CHARTERS
T MENNA
PO BOX 6770
SANTA BARBARA CA
93160-6770

1 GEORGIA INST OF TECHNOLOGY
K LOGAN
ATLANTA GA 30332-0245

1 INTERNATNL RSCH ASSOC
D ORPHAL
4450 BLACK AVENUE
PLEASANTON CA 94566

1 JET PROPULSION LABORATORY
M ADAMS
IMPACT PHYSICS GROUP
4800 OAK GROVE DRIVE
PASADENA CA 91109-8099

1 KAMAN SCIENCES CORP
D BARNETTE
1500 GARDEN OF THE GODS RD
COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80907

3 LANXIDE ARMOR PRODUCTS
B FRANZEN
K LEIGHTON
R WOLFE
1300 MARROWS RD
NEWARK DE 19714-6077

| <u>NO. OF COPIES</u> | <u>ORGANIZATION</u> |
|--------------------------|--|
| 4 | O'GARA HESS & EISENHARDT G ALLEN D MALONE T RUSSEL C WILLIAMS 9113 LE SAINT DR FAIRFIELD OH 45014 |
| 4 | POULTER LABORATORY SRI INTERNATIONAL D CURRAN R KLOOP L SEAMAN D SHOCKEY 333 RAVENSWOOD AVE MENLO PARK CA 94025 |
| 1 | SAIC J FURLONG MS 264 1710 GOODRIDGE DR MCLEAN VA 22102 |
| 3 | SIMULA INC G GRACE R HUYETT G YANIU 10016 SOUTH 51ST STREET PHOENIX AZ 85044 |
| 4 | UNITED DEFENSE LP V HORVATICH M MIDDIONE J MORROW R RAJAGOPAL PO BOX 359 SANTA CLARA CA 95052-0359 |
| 1 | UNITED DEFENSE LP J JOHNSON PO BOX 15512 YORK PA 17405-1512 |
| 1 | R J EICHELBERGER 409 W CATHERINE ST BEL AIR MD 21014-3613 |
| 1 | B EINSTEN 1212 MORNINGSIDE WAY VENICE CA 90297 |

| <u>NO. OF COPIES</u> | <u>ORGANIZATION</u> |
|--------------------------|--|
| 1 | B SKAGGS RT 11 BOX 81E SANTA FE NM 87501 |
| 2 | AMERICAN EMBASSY BONN COL BALD DR R ROBINSON UNIT 21701 BOX 165 APO AE 09080 |
| 1 | DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY TECH LIBRARY 6801 TELEGRAPH RD ALEXANDRIA VA 22192 |
| 1 | JET PROPULSION LAB M ADAMS IMPACT PHYSICS GROUP 4800 OAK GROVE DR PASADENA CA 91109-8099 |
| 1 | UNIV OF DAYTON R HOFFMAN 300 COLLEGE PARK DAYTON OH 45469 |
| 1 | TRACOR AEROSPACE INC RONALD BROWN PO BOX 196 SAN RAMON CA 94566 |
| 1 | ROCKWELL INTL ROCKETDYNE DIV J MOLDENHAUER 6633 CANOGA AVE HB 23 CANOGA PK CA 91303 |
| 2 | ALLIED SIGNAL L LIN PO BOX 31 PETERSBURG VA 23804 |
| 1 | MCDONNELL DOUGLAS HELICOPTER L R BIRD MS 543 D216 5000 E MCDOWELL RD MESA AZ 85205 |

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 AEROJET PRECISION WPNS
DEPT 5131 T W
JOSEPH CARLEONE
1100 HOLLYVALE
AZUSA CA 91702

1 PHYSICS INTL
JIM COFFENBERRY
2700 MERCED ST
PO BOX 5010
SAN LEANDRO CA 94577

1 BOEING CORP
T M MURRAY MS 84 84
PO BOX 3999
SEATTLE WA 98124

1 STARMET CORPORATION
R QUINN
2229 MAIN ST
CONCORD MA 01742

3 DYNA EAST CORP
P C CHOU
R CICCARELLI
W FLIS
3620 HORIZON DR
KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406

2 ORLANDO TECH INC
D MATUSKA
J OSBORN
PO BOX 855
SHALIMAR FL 32579

1 LIVERMORE SOFTWARE
TECH CORP
JOHN O HALLQUIST
2876 WAVERLY WAY
LIVERMORE CA 94550

2 LOCKHEAD MARTIN ELECTRICAL
AND MISSILE
C E HAMMOND MP 004
L WILLIAMS MP 126
5600 SAND LAKE RD
ORLANDO FL 32819

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 ZERNOW TECH SVCS INC
LOIUS ZERNOW
425 W BONITA AVE
SUITE 208
SAN DIMAS CA 91773

1 OLIN FLINCHBAUGH DIV
RALF CAMPOLI
200 E HIGH ST
PO BOX 127
RED LION PA 17356

1 E I DUPONT CO
OSWALD BERGMANN
BRANDYWINE BLDG RM 12204
WILMINGTON DE 19898

1 PRIMEX CORP
D EDMONDS
10101 9TH ST N
ST PETERSBURG FL 33716

1 RAYTHEON CO
R LLOYD
PO BOX 1201
TEWKSBURY MA 01876

1 INGALLS SHIPBUILDING
CBI 01
MR P GREGORY
PO BOX 149
PASCAGOULA MS 39567

4 UNITED DEFENSE LP
V HORVATICH
R RAJAGOPAL
M MIDDIONE
J MARROW
PO BOX 359
SANTA CLARA CA 95052-0359

1 ADELMAN ASSOC
C CLINE
3301 EL AMINO RIAL
SUITE 280
ATHERTA CA 94027

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

5 GDLS
W BURKE
J ERIDON
W HERMAN
P CAMPBELL
D DEBUTCHER
38500 MOUND RD
STERLING HEIGHTS MI
48310-3268

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

112 DIR USARL
AMSRL WM T,
W MORRISON
AMSRL WM TA,
W BRUCHEY
S. BILYK
W GILICH
T HAVEL
E HORWATH
Y HUANG
H MEYER
E J RAPACKI
N RUPERT (25 CPS)
AMSRL WM TC,
W S DE ROSSET
F GRACE (25 CPS)
K KIMSEY
M LAMPSON
W LEONARD
L MAGNESS
D SCHEFFLER
G SILSBY
R SUMMERS
W WALTERS
A COOPLAND

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

AMSRL WM TD,
A M DIETRICH
T FARRAND
K FRANK
A GUPTA
P KINGMAN
M RAFTENBERG
M SCHEIDLER
S SEGLETES
J WALTER
T WRIGHT
AMSRL WM WD, A NILER
AMSRL WM M,
D VIECHNICKI
J McCAULEY
AMSRL WM MC
M CHEN
G GILDE
P HAUNG
T HYNES
J SWAB
J WELLS
J LaSALVIA
AMSRL WM MF
S CHOU (16 CPS)
D DANDEKAR
R RAJENDRAN
AMSRL WM ME
M STAKER
R ADLER
AMSRL WM MD
W ROY
R DOWDING
AMSRL WM MB

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

9 AERONAUTICAL & MARITIME
RESEARCH LABORATORY
N BURMAN
G WESTON
M CHICK
A MOURITZ
E GELLERT
S PATTIE
R J CHESTER
J DIMAS
S CIMPOERU
D PAUL
PO BOX 4331
MELBOURNE VIC 3001
AUSTRALIA

1 ATS1 LOGISTICS SYSTEMS
AGENCY
RAAF WILLIAMS
LAVERTON 3027
AUSTRALIA

1 BATTELLE
INGENIEURTECHNIK GMBH
W FUCKE
DUESSELDORFFER STR 9
ESCHBORN D 65760
GERMANY

1 CARLOS III UNIV OF MADRID
C NAVARRO
ESCUELA POLITÉENICA
SUPERIOR
C/. BUTARQUE 15
28911 LEGANÉS MADRID
SPAIN

1 CELSIUS MATERIALTEKNIK
KARLSKOGA AB
L HELLNER
S 691 80 KARLSKOGA
SWEDEN

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

5 CENTRE D'ETUDES GRAMAT
J CAGNOUX
C GALLIC
M CAURET
P CHARTAGNAC
J TRANCHET
GRAMAT 46500
FRANCE

9 CENTRE DE RECHERCHES
ET D'ETUDES D'ARCUEIL
D BOUVART
C DENOUAL
C COTTENNOT
S JONNEAUX
H ORSINI
S SERROR
F TARDIVAL
D'ETUDES
D'ARCUEIL
16 BIS AVENUE PRIEUR DE LA
CÔTE D'OR
F 94114 ARCUEIL CÉDEX
FRANCE

2 CONDAT
J KIERMEIR
K THOMA
MAXILLANSTR 28
8069 SCHEYERN FERNHAG
GERMANY

2 DEFENCE TECH & PROCUREMENT AGCY
G LAUBE
W ODERMATT
BALLISTICS WPNS & COMBAT VEHICLE
TEST CTR
CH 3602 THUN
SWITZERLAND

4 DEFENCE RESEARCH AGENCY
T BARTON
P CHURCH
I CULLIS
M J HINTON
FORT HALSTEAD SEVENOAKS
KENT TN14 7BP
UNITED KINGDOM

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

6 DEFENCE RESEARCH AGENCY
W CARSON
I CROUCH
C FREW
T HAWKINS
B JAMES
B SHRUBSALL
CHOBHAM LANE
CHERTEY SURREY KT16 OEE
UNITED KINGDOM

1 DEFENCE RSCH ESTAB SUFFIELD
C WEICKERT
BOX 4000 MEDICINE HAT
ALBERTA T1A 8K6
CANADA

1 DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTAB
VALCARTIER (DREV)
DR D NANDALL
WEAPONS SYSTEMS DIVISION
CP 8800 COURCELETTE
PQ GOA 1R0
CANADA

1 DEFENCE SCIENTIFIC ESTAB
P RIDDELL
AUCKLAND NAVAL BASE
AUCKLAND 9
NEW ZEALAND

1 DEUTSCHE AEROSPACE AG
M HELD
POSTFACH 13 40
D 86 523 SCHROBENHAUSEN
GERMANY

3 DEUTSCHE FRANZÖSISCHES
FORSCHUNGSINSTITUT
SAINT LOUIS
H ERNST
K HOOG
H LERR
CÉDEX 5 RUE DU GÉNÉRAL
CASSAGNOU
F 68301 SAINT LOUIS
FRANCE

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DIEHL GMBH AND CO
M SCHILDKNECHT
FISCHBACHSTRASSE 16
D 90552 RÖTGENBACH AD
PEGNITZ
GERMANY

1 DYNAMIC RESEARCH AB
Å PERSSON
PARADISGRÄND 7
SÖDERTÄLJE S 151 36
SWEDEN

1 ESQUMALT DEFENCE RESEARCH
DETACHMENT
A J RUSSELL
FMO VICTORIA B C
VOS 1B0
CANADA

1 ETBS DSTI
P BARNIER
ROUTE DE GUERAY
BOITE POSTALE 712
18015 BOURGES CEDEX
FRANCE

1 EMBASSY OF AUSTRALIA
R WOODWARD
COUNSELLOR DEFENCE
SCIENCE
1601 MASS AVE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036-2273

2 FEDERAL MINISTRY OF
DEFENCE
DIR OF EQUIPMENT AND
TECH LAND
RÜV 2
D HAUG
L REPPER
POSTFACH 1328
53003 BONN
GERMANY

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 2 FRANHOFER INSTITUT FÜR
KURZZEITDYNAMIK
ERNST MACH INSTITUT
V HOHLER
K THOMA
ECKERSTRASSE 4
D 79 104 FREIBURG
GERMANY
- 4 FRANHOFER INSTITUT FÜR
KURZZEITDYNAMIK
ERNST MACH INSTITUT
H ROTHENHÄUSLER
K WINEMANN
H SENF
E STRASSBURGER
HAUPTSTRASSE 18
D 79 576 WEIL AM RHEIN
GERMANY
- 2 HIGH ENERGY DENSITY RESEARCH CTR
V FORTOV
G KANEL
IZHORSKAYA STR 13/19
MOSCOW 127412
RUSSIAN REPUBLIC
- 1 INGENIEURBÜRO DEISENROTH
F DEISENROTH
AUF DE HARDT 33 35
D 5204 LOHMAR 1
GERMANY
- 1 INST OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS
S RAZORENOV
142432 CHERNOGOLOVKA
MOSCOW REGION
RUSSIAN REPUBLIC

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 7 INSTITUTE FOR PROBLEMS IN
MATERIALS SCIENCE
S FIRSTOV
B GALANOV
O GRIGORIEV
V KARTUZOV
V KOVTUN
Y MILMAN
V TREFILOV
3, KRHYZHANOVSKY STR
252142, KIEV-142, UKRAINE
- 1 INSTI FOR PROBLEMS OF
STRESS
G STEPANOV
TIMIRYAZEVSKEYA STR 2
252014 KIEV
UKRAINE
- 3 INST OF MECH ENGINEERING
PROBLEMS
V BULATOV
D INDEITSEV
Y MESCHERYAKOV
BOLSHOY, 61, V.O.
ST PETERSBURG, 199178
RUSSIAN REPUBLIC
- 2 IOFFE PHYSICO TECH INST
E DROBYSHEVSKI
A KOZHUSHKO
ST PETERSBURG 194021
RUSSIAN REPUBLIC
- 1 K&W THUN
W LANZ
ALLMENDSSTRASSE 86
CH 3602 THUN
SWITZERLAND
- 2 MONASH UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENG
R GRZEBIETA (2 CPS)
CLAYTON VICTORIA 3168
AUSTRALIA

| <u>NO. OF COPIES</u> | <u>ORGANIZATION</u> |
|--------------------------|---|
| 1 | MORGAN MATROC C ROBERTSON ST PETERS RD RUGBY WARWICKSHIRE CV 21 3QR UNITED KINGDOM |
| 10 | NATIONAL DEFENCE RSCH ESTAB J ERIKSON S SAVAGE L WESTERLING P LUNDBERG P-O OLSSON L-G OLSSON H OSKARSSON S-O StÅHL K RAGNARSSON K EKELUND FOA 630 S 172 90 STOCKHOLM SWEDEN |
| 1 | R OGORKIEWICZ 18 TEMPLE SHEEN LONDON SW 14 7RP UNITED KINGDOM |
| 1 | OTO BRED M GUALCO M PELLEGR VIA VALDIOCCHI 15 I 19136 LA SPEZIA ITALY |
| 5 | RAFAEL BALLISTICS CENTER M MAYSELESS Y PARTOM G ROSENBERG Z ROSENBERG Y YESHURUN PO BOX 2250 HAIFA 31021 ISRAEL |
| 1 | RSCH INST OF MECHANICS NIZHNTY NOVGOROD STATE UNIV A SADYRIN PR GAYARINA 23 KORP6 NIZHNTY NOVGOROD 603600 RUSSIAN REPUBLIC |

| <u>NO. OF COPIES</u> | <u>ORGANIZATION</u> |
|--------------------------|--|
| 1 | ROYAL MILITARY ACADEMY E CELENS RENAISSANCE AVE 30 B 1040 BRUSSELS BELGIUM |
| 1 | ROYAL NETHERLANDS ARMY J HOENEVELD V D BURCHLAAN 31 PO BOX 90822 2509 LS THE HAGUE NETHERLANDS |
| 3 | SNPE P FABRE C GAUDIN C GOUZOUQUEN BP NO 2 91710 VERT-LE-PETIT FRANCE |
| 3 | SWEDISH DEFENCE RSCH ESTAB L HOLMBERG B JANZON I MELLGARD BOX 551 S 147 25 TUMBA SWEDEN |
| 1 | TECHNION INST OF TECH FACULTY OF MECH ENGINEERING S BODNER TECHNION CITY HAIFA 32000 ISRAEL |
| 3 | TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT CHEMNITZ-ZWICKAU I FABER L KRUEGER L MEYER SCHEFFEL STR 110 09120 CHEMNITZ GERMANY |

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 3 TNO PRINS MAURITS LAB
H PASMAN
G PESKES
R YSSELSTEIN
PO BOX 45
LANGE KLEIWEG 137
2280 AA RIJSWIJK
NETHERLANDS
- 2 TOMSK BR OF THE INST
FOR STRUCTURAL
MACROKINETICS
V GORELSKI
S ZELEPUGIN
8 LENIN SQ GSP 18
TOMSK 634050
RUSSIAN REPUBLIC
- 1 RESEARCH AND DEV. DEPT.
EMPRESA NACIONAL SANTA
BÁRBARA
C BRIALES
CARRETERA DE BELVIS KM 1
PARACUELLOS DEL JARAMA
28860 MADRID
SPAIN
- 6 DEPARTAMENTO DE CIENCIA
DE MATERIALES
E T S DE INGENIEROS DE
CARMINOS
R CORTÉS
R ZAERA
M A MARTINEZ
V SÁNCHEZ-GÁLVEZ
M VIGIL
I S CHOCRON-BENLOULO
CANALES Y PUERTOS
UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE
MADRID
CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA
E 28040 MADRID
SPAIN

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 4 MILITARY UNIVERSITY OF TECH
2 KALISKIEGO STREET
K JACH
M MROCZKOWSKI
R ŚWIERCZYŃSKI
E WŁODARCZYK
01 489 WARSAW 49
POLAND
- 1 MENDEL UNIVERSITY OF
AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY
ZEMĚDĚLSKA 1
J BUCHAR
61300 BRNO
CZECH REPUBLIC
- 1 MILITARY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
ZÁHORIE
M LAZAR
905 24 SENICA
SLOVAK REPUBLIC
- 1 MILITARY RESEARCH INST.
RYBKOVÁ 2A
S ROLE
P O B 547
602 00 BRNO
CZECH REPUBLIC
- 1 NUCLEAR POWER PLANT
RESEARCH INSTITUTE
OKRUŽNÁ 5
V ADAMIK
918 64 TRNAVA
SLOVAK REPUBLIC
- 1 MASARYK UNIVERSITY
BURESOVA
J HŘEBÍČEK
600 00 BRNO
CZECH REPUBLIC
- 1 DEMEX CONSULTING ENG.
A/S
S MADSEN
HEJREVEJ 26,
DK 2400 KØBENHAUN
DENMARK

| <u>NO. OF COPIES</u> | <u>ORGANIZATION</u> |
|--------------------------|--|
| 4 | CENTURY DYNAMICS LTD DYNAMICS HOUSE N FRANCIS I LIVINGSTONE R CLEGG C HAYHURST HURST ROAD HORSHAM WEST SUSSEX RH12 2DT ENGLAND UNITED KINGDOM |
| 1 | CISI SOCIETY AVENUE J F KENNEDY F GILL BP19 33702 MÉRIGNAC CÉDEX FRANCE |
| 4 | CRANFIELD UNIVERSITY ROYAL MILITARY COLLEGE OF SCIENCE SHRIVENHAM R LUMLEY P HAZELL M IREMONGER I HORSFALL SWINDON SN6 8LA UNITED KINGDOM |
| 2 | DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTAB. VALCARTIER R DELGRAVE D NANDLALL P O BOX 8800 COURCELETTA QUÉBEC GOA IRO CANADA |
| 1 | LABORATOIRE DE MÉCANIQUE ET TECHNOLOGIE F HILD ENS DE CACHAN CNRS UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 6 61 AVENUE DU PRÉSIDENT WILSON F 94235 CACHAN CEDEX FRANCE |

| <u>NO. OF COPIES</u> | <u>ORGANIZATION</u> |
|--------------------------|--|
| 2 | CENTRE DE RECHERCHES ET D'ETUDES D'ARCUEIL 16 BIS AVENUE PRIEUR DE LA CÔTE D'OR F 94114 ARCUEIL CÉDEX FRANCE |
| 1 | CELSIUS MATERIAL TEKNIK KARLSKOGA AB S 691 80 KARLSKOGA SWEDEN |
| 1 | SWISS FEDERAL ARMAMENT WORKS W LANZ ALLMENDSSTRASSE 86 CH 3602 THUN SWITZERLAND |
| 2 | HIGH ENERGY DENSITY RSRCH CENTER G KANEL V FORTOV IZHORSKAYA 13 19 MOSCOW 127412 RUSSIAN REPUBLIC |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| <small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small> | | | | |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) | 2. REPORT DATE September 1997 | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, Feb 94 - Jun 94 | | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Analysis and Normalization of Ceramic/Metal Bi-Element Target Data | | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS PR: 1L162618AH80 | | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Nevin L. Rupert and Fred I. Grace | | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WM-TA Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-1492 | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER | | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The report was originally given at the 19th Army Science Conference, held on 20-23 June 1994 in Orlando, FL and is published in the proceedings. Additional data from later experimental work was added in this report. | | | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. | | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE | | |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The use of semi-infinite, bi-element targets in depth of penetration (DOP) testing initially arose from the need to rank performance of ceramic materials under ballistic impact. Ceramics exhibit complex damage responses. Interpretation of DOP results for ceramic/metal target combinations can be difficult and sometimes misleading. Recent work examined bi-element metal/metal targets to determine additional damage mechanisms present in the earlier DOP ceramic/metal target responses. Significant target interactions were demonstrated in either combination with or in addition to specific damage mechanisms inherent in the ceramic response. The target interactions considered before arose from mismatched densities at the target/target interface. Through an analytical model, the "density" effect and time-dependent mechanisms were separated. The current work, which includes titanium diboride/rolled homogeneous armor (RHA) and titanium diboride/titanium alloy bi-element target designs provides target combinations that are density-matched and strength-matched. The current work presents these new data and provides an analysis to normalize DOP responses for all target combinations. A ceramic damage function that could partially link experimental results to analysis is defined. | | | | |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS ceramics, bi-element, density effect, normalization | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 37 | | |
| | | 16. PRICE CODE | | |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL | |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number/Author ARL-TR-1492 (Rupert) Date of Report September 1997
2. Date Report Received _____
3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.) _____

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate. _____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.) _____

CURRENT
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

E-mail Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)
(DO NOT STAPLE)


DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 0001,APG,MD

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRL WM TA
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD 21005-5066



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

